

HLC CoC 2023 NOFO Scoring Rubric – Renewal Projects

| RATING FACTOR | PERFORMANCE GOAL | SOURCE | PERFORMANCE | POINT(S) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|----------|
| Performance Measures | | | | |
| Length of Stay | | | | |
| On average, participants spend 15 days from project entry to residential move in | 15 days or less | System Performance Measures | Less than 15 days | 20 |
| | | | 15-25 days | 15 |
| | | | 25-35 days | 10 |
| | | | 35 + days | 5 |
| Exits to Permanent Housing | | | | |
| On average, 90% of participants remain in or move to PH | 90% or more | System Performance Measures | 90 – 100% | 25 |
| | | | 80 – 89% | 20 |
| | | | 70 – 79% | 15 |
| | | | 60 – 69% | 10 |
| | | | 50 – 59% | 5 |
| Returns to Homelessness | | | | |
| Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing ≤15% of participants return to homelessness | ≤15% of participants return to homelessness | System Performance Measures | 15% or less | 15 |
| | | | 15 – 25% | 10 |
| | | | 25 – 35% | 5 |
| New or Increased Income or Earned Income | | | | |
| Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers | 8% or more | System Performance Measures | 8% + | 2.5 |
| | | | 6 – 8% | 2.0 |
| | | | 4 – 5.9% | 1.5 |
| | | | 2 – 3.9 | 1.0 |
| | | | 1 – 2% | 0.5 |
| Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers | 10% or more | System Performance Measures | 10% + | 2.5 |
| | | | 8 – 9.9% | 2.0 |
| | | | 6 – 7.9% | 1.5 |
| | | | 4 – 5.9% | 1.0 |
| | | | 3 – 4.9% | 0.5 |

| | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|------------|-----|
| Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers | 15% or more | System Performance Measures | 15% + | 2.5 |
| | | | 12 – 14.9% | 2.0 |
| | | | 9 – 11.9% | 1.5 |
| | | | 5 – 8.9% | 1.0 |
| | | | 0-4.9% | 0.5 |
| Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers | 10% or more | System Performance Measures | 10% + | 2.5 |
| | | | 8 – 9.9% | 2.0 |
| | | | 6 – 7.9% | 1.5 |
| | | | 4 – 5.9% | 1.0 |
| | | | 3 – 4.9% | 0.5 |
| Project Effectiveness | | | | |
| Minimum percent of participants served are unsheltered homeless | 50% or more | LOI - Q 1c | ≥ 50% | 20 |
| | | | < 50% | 0 |
| Minimum percent of entries to project are from Coordinated Entry (or alternative DV system) referral | 100% | LOI - Q 5 & 5a | 100% | 20 |
| | | | 80 - 99% | 15 |
| | | | 70 – 79% | 10 |
| | | | 60 – 69% | 5 |
| | | | 50 – 59% | 1 |
| A project has secured more than 25% match | YES | LOI - Top of page 4 | YES | 10 |
| | | | NO | 0 |
| Equity Factors | | | | |
| Recipient incorporates the tenets of Diversity, Equity, and Inclusivity in their organizational structure, including in their agency leadership, governance, hiring practices, staff trainings, and/or policies. | YES | LOI - Q 12 | YES | 10 |
| | | | NO | 0 |
| Recipient utilizes a variety of methods to address equity. | ≥ 6 methods | LOI - Q 11 | ≥ 6 | 10 |
| | | | 3-5 | 5 |
| | | | < 3 | 0 |

| | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has implemented or has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers | YES | LOI - Q 13 | YES | 10 |
| | | | NO | 0 |
| Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age | YES | LOI - Q 1a | YES | 10 |
| | | | NO | 0 |
| Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes | YES | LOI - Q 2 | YES | 10 |
| | | | NO | 0 |
| Other and Local Criteria | | | | |
| Is the project providing Permanent Supportive Housing | YES | LOI - Page 3 - Project Type | YES | 10 |
| | | | NO | 0 |
| CoC Monitoring Score | Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards outlined in <u>Internal Wellness Checklist</u> | Internal Wellness Checklist | YES | 10 |
| | | | NO | 0 |
| HIC/PIT Count Participation | Agency actively participated in the HIC/PIT Count for the CoC | LOI - Q 3 | YES | 10 |
| | | | NO | 0 |
| IRT Review Score | Based upon review of all application documents for quality, validity, and applicability, the IRT | | Based upon a 0-20-point scale with 0 the lowest score possible and 20 the highest. | |

| | | | |
|--|----------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| | member will give the application an overall score. | | |
|--|----------------------------------------------------|--|--|